

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

IVY MELENDEZ ARROYO  
Plaintiff

vs.

CUTLER-HAMMER DE P.R., CO.  
Defendant


RECEIVED AND  
2002 JUN 23 AM 11:15  
CLERK OF COURT  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
OLD SAN JUAN, P.R.  
CIVIL NO. 98-2395 (JP)

**ORDER**

MOTION	RULING
<p><b>Date Filed:</b> May 9, 2002; May 13, 2002 <b>Docket:</b> # 168; _#174</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Plffs</b>    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Defts</b>    <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other</b></p> <p><b>Title:</b> Plaintiff's Motion Requesting Clarification of Additional Initial Scheduling Conference Order.; Defendant's Opposition thereto.</p>	<p><b>GRANTED.</b> The Court has reexamined its own notes taken during the ISC Conference and finds that its note reflect that the <u>authorization</u> for Plaintiff's credit card expenditures as opposed to the expenditures themselves was due on May 15, 2002.</p>
<p><b>Date Filed:</b> May 9, 2002; May 16, 2002 <b>Docket:</b> # 169; #177 0</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Plffs</b>    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Defts</b>    <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other</b></p> <p><b>Title:</b> Plaintiff's Informative Motion Concerning Proposed Stipulated Facts.; Defendant's Opposition Thereto.</p>	<p><b>NOTED.</b> The Court hereby <b>NOTES</b> that Plaintiff has stipulated to the facts as stated in the instant motion (docket. No. 169). The Court also <b>NOTES</b> that Defendants do not stipulate to facts stipulated to by Plaintiff in docket No. 169. The ISC Order is hereby <b>AMENDED</b> to reflect the same.</p>

Date:

6/19/02

  
JAIME PIERAS, JR.  
U.S. Senior District Judge

27

5

190  
4m